

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**In re the Application of:**

Juan R. LOAZIA, et al.

Serial No.: 10/635,708

Filed: August 5, 2003

For: METHOD AND MECHANISM FOR  
RELATIONAL ACCESS OF RECOVERY  
LOGS IN A DATABASE SYSTEM

Group Art Unit: 2168

Examiner: Ly, Cheyne D.

Confirmation No.: 1877

**RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for his courtesy in conducting the telephonic interview dated May 1, 2007 in response to Applicants' written request for a telephonic interview dated April 30, 2007. Applicants filed the response to the prior Office Action dated January 11, 2007 on April 11, 2007 and prior to Applicants' receipt of the Interview Summary dated May 16, 2007. Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that the substance of the interview will be fully considered with the response filed on April 11, 2007.

**RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.133 and § 1.2, Applicants hereby formally respond to the Interview Summary dated May 1, 2007 as follows.

**I. Brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.**

Applicants did not show any exhibit or conduct any demonstration during the telephone interview.

**II. Identification of the claims discussed.**

The basis for rejection to claim 15 and 22 of the Application were discussed.

**III. Identification of the specific prior art discussed.**

Independent Recovery in Large-Scale Distributed Systems” written by Peter Triantafillou (Triantafillou) and “A System Prototype for Warehouse View Maintenance” written by Wiener et al. (Wiener) were discussed during the telephonic interview.

**IV. Identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed.**

No amendments were discussed.

**V. Brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner.**

Applicants discussed the Triantafillou and Wiener references in regards to the limitation of “establishing a view...database recovery logs.” Applicants thus submitted that Triantafillou in view of Wiener does not disclose the claimed limitation of establishing a view of database

recovery logs of claim 15. The Examiner has indicated that the substance of the interview will be fully considered with the response filed on April 11, 2007.

**VI. General indication of any other pertinent matters discussed.**

No other pertinent matters were discussed in the telephonic interview.

**VII. General results or outcome of the interview.**

The Examiner indicated that the substance of the interview will be fully considered with the response filed April 11, 2007.

**CONCLUSION**

On the basis of the above remarks, reconsideration and allowance of the claims is believed to be warranted and such action is respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions or comments, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the number listed below.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees due in connection with the filing of this document to Bingham McCutchen's Deposit Account No. 50-2518, referencing billing number 7011443001. The Commissioner is authorized to credit any overpayment or to charge any underpayment to Bingham McCutchen's Deposit Account No. 50-2518, referencing billing number 7011443001

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 15, 2007

By: 

Peter C. Mei  
Reg. No. 39,768

Bingham McCutchen LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067  
Telephone: (650) 849-4962  
Telefax: (650) 849-4800